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Abstract. Cloud Computing paradigm provides resources of computing infrastructure as services over the Internet. Along with the several benefits it offers it suffers from various security risks. Data outsourced onto the honest-but-curious public cloud servers should be protected from unauthorized access including the cloud service providers. Data should be encrypted to maintain confidentiality. There is need for access control mechanism to provide data access selectively to the authorized users. We propose a dynamic access control scheme using multilinear map that requires users to store only a single key irrespective of the number of data items to which user has authorized access. The cloud server being dishonest might erase the data of the owner for its benefits. We provide a bandwidth saving scheme that provides the proof of data possession.
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1. Introduction

Cloud Computing is a computing paradigm wherein resources of the computing infrastructure are provided as services over the Internet. Increase in demand for the computing resources have made several organizations (clients) to outsource their storage and computing needs. Data Outsourcing allows the clients to store their data at an external cloud service provider which provides means for clients to access the outsourced data.

Cloud Computing being economic offers various types of services.

- **Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS):** The customer makes use of the cloud service provider's storage, computing and networking infrastructure. A good example of IaaS is Amazon's Elastic cloud (Amazon EC2) [1].

- **Platform as a Service (PaaS):** Platform comprises of development tools and runtime environment. The cloud customer leverages the providers development tools to run the custom applications. Examples include Microsoft Azure [2] and Google Apps.

- **Software as a Service (SaaS):** Customers use application software that is hosted on the provider’s infrastructure. Examples include Google docs and web mail services.

There are two categories of cloud infrastructure.

1) **Private cloud infrastructure:** In this category the infrastructure is owned and managed by the customer (organization) and located on-premise (in the customer's region of control). The access to the data is controlled by the customer and the access is granted to the trusted parties. We hope, the use of users, customers, data owners, service providers will be clear from the context.

2) **Public cloud infrastructure:** In this category the cloud service provider owns and manages the infrastructure and the data is located off-premise (in the service provider's region of control). The access to the data could be potentially granted to the untrusted parties as the data is not controlled by the customer but the service provider.
The advantage of outsourcing data is related to the costs of building in-house infrastructure versus outsourced hosting. Outsourcing provides significant cost savings and service benefits. By outsourcing, the data owner can concentrate on the core business. Due to outsourcing of data on to the public cloud storage, the sensitive data will be no more in the control of the data owner. The confidentiality and integrity of the data will be at risk.

1.1. Our Contributions
1) The server often behaves maliciously for gaining benefits. It might erase the data of the owner in the process. There is a need to check the data possession at the server. We provide a method to check the data possession wherein the size of the proof is constant (essentially an element of the group under consideration) irrespective of the number of blocks queried. The size of the proof generated by the server is less in comparison with the scheme in [5].

2) Often the data owner wants to give access permission selectively to the data items among the authorized users [4]. It requires the enforcement of the access control policy. We design a scheme for enforcing the access control scheme that does not require the involvement of the data owner in processing the authorized users query.

2. Data Possession Checking Scheme

2.1. Notations and the scheme

Let \( F = \{b_1, ..., b_f\} \) be the data to be outsourced.

\[
\theta : \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^{\log f} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^l
\]

\[
\pi : \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^{\log f} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\log f}
\]

Suppose \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be the multiplicative groups of order \( q \). The generators of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are \( g \) and \( e(g, g) \) respectively. Define \( e : G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \) be the bilinear map. \( \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g)^{ab} \).

The public cryptographic hash function \( h \).

2.2. Scheme

1) Data owner publishes \( \{g^{b_i}\}, 1 \leq i \leq f \) and sends \( F \) to the server.

2) The owner randomly chose \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q^* \) and prepares the challenge \( C = (c, g^{t_1}, g^{t_2}, k_1) \). Sends the challenge to the service provider and \( t_1, t_2 \) are kept secret by owner.

3) Service provider generates the proof as below: \( \text{For } 1 \leq j \leq c \)
   - Compute indices \( i_j = \pi_{k_j}(f) \). Compute :
     \[
     V = e(g^{t_1}, g^{t_2})^{b_{11}} ... e(g^{t_1}, g^{t_2})^{b_{1c}} = e(g, g)^{t_1 t_2 (b_{11} + ... + b_{1c})}
     \]
     Sends \( h(V) \) to the user.

4) The user checks the proof as below: \( T = 1; \text{For } 1 \leq j \leq c \)
   - \( i_j = \pi_{k_1}(f) \).
   - \( T = Te(g^{t_1}, g^{b_{11}})^{t_2} \)
Verification: If \( h(T) = h(V) \) then it is a proof that the server possesses the data blocks queried in the challenge.

The size of the proof generated by the server is less in comparison with the scheme in [5].

2.3. Adversarial argument:

The security of the scheme is based on the intractability of solving the discrete log problem. If server solve discrete log problem then he can "cheat" the data owner. We will not be able to provide the proof due to space constraints.

3. Dynamic access control to the outsourced data using multilinear map

In this section, we present a scheme that supports dynamic access control in which the access privileges of the users to the data objects can be granted and revoked. The definitions used in this section are taken from [3].

The scheme comprises of following algorithms.

Setup \((A,t)\): Let \( U \) be the set of users and \( D \) be the set of data items such that \( |U| = n \) and \( |D| = m \). \( A \) is the access control matrix of order \( n \times m \) each row corresponding to a user in \( U \) and is defined by

\[
A[u_i,d_j] = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } u_i \text{ is not authorized to access } d_j \\
0, & \text{if } u_i \text{ is authorized to access } d_j
\end{cases}
\]

It lists all \( acl_{d_j} \), where \( d_j \in D \) and sets

\[
k = \max_i(|acl_{d_j}|)
\]

Run the randomized multilinear map generator algorithm \( G = G(t,n) \) to obtain \( (\Gamma,g,q) \). Let \( e: G^*_1 \rightarrow G_2^* \) be the multilinear map defined by \( \Gamma \). \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are multiplicative groups. The generator of \( G_1 \) is \( g \) and \( q \) is the order of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \). We assume discrete log problem in \( G_1 \) is intractable.

Let \( U = \{u_1,.., u_n\} \) be the user set. Let \( D = \{d_1,.., d_m\} \) such that \( \forall i, d_i \in G_2 \) be the data set to be outsourced. As \( acl_{d_i} \) being the set of users authorized to access the data item \( d_i \), let \( acl_{d_i} \) be the number of users who have authorized access to \( d_i \). Set

\[
l = \min_i(|acl_{d_i}|)
\]

Choose \( n - l \) numbers randomly from \( \mathbb{Z}_q \). Let these numbers be \( \{s_1,.., s_{n-l}\} \). Output the public parameters \( P_{pub} = (\Gamma,g,q,g^{s_1},..,g^{s_{n-l}}) \).

User Key Generation, \( KGU(g,q) \): A user \( u \)'s key pair is of the form \( pk_u = g^\alpha \) and \( sk_u = \alpha \), where \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\} \). Let Alice be the owner of the data to be outsourced. The key pairs corresponding to the Alice be \( (sk_o,pk_o) = (\alpha,g^\alpha) \).

Outsourced Data Encryption Key Generation, \( EKG(A,k,l,d_i,sk_o,P_{pub}) \): Let \( k = |acl_{d_i}| \). Suppose \( k = n \). W.l.o.g let \( acl_{d_i} = \{u_{i_1},..,u_{i_k}\} \). Let \( \{g^{\alpha_{i_1}},..,g^{\alpha_{i_k}}\} \) be corresponding public keys of the users in \( acl_{d_i} \). Compute key \( K_i \)

\[
K_i = e(g^{\alpha_{i_1}},..,g^{\alpha_{i_k}})^a \\
eq e(g,..,g)^{a\alpha_{i_1}...\alpha_{i_k}}
\]

Suppose \( k \neq n \) and \( k < n \) and \( acl_{d_i} = \{u_{i_1},..,u_{i_j}\} \), \( j < n \). Compute \( K_i \) as,

\[
K_i = e(g^{\alpha_{i_1}},..,g^{\alpha_{i_j}},g^{s_1},..,g^{s_{n-j}})^a \\
eq e(g,..,g)^{a\alpha_{i_1}...\alpha_{i_j}s_1...s_{n-j}}
\]

Output \( K_i \).
Granting access privileges to the authorized users

We address the following access privilege changes to the data items stored on the honest-but-curious server and give the corresponding algorithms to handle the access privilege changes.

The users inacl_{d_i} are authorized to access the item d_i ∈ D along with the Alice the data owner. The data object is encrypted as detailed above to enforce this access control on d_i. Any user u ∉ acl_{d_i} S will not be able to access d_i. Let acl_{d_i} = \{u_{i_1},\ldots,u_{i_k}\} such that k ≤ n. If k = n, then all the users have privileges to access d_i. Suppose k < n , it means not all users in U have access to d_i. In other words, there are users u_{i_j} ∉ acl_{d_i} but u_{i_j} ∈ U.

Suppose a user u_{i_j} ∉ acl_{d_i} but u_{i_j} ∈ U be given "privilege/authorization" to access d_i. The data owner Alice should modify the access privileges so that d_i can be accessed by acl_{d_i} U u_{i_j}. The following algorithm is executed by the Alice.

Grant Privilege Update, PU (A, d_i, sk_O, u_{i_j}): Suppose k = |acl_{d_i}| < n for d_i and the user u_{i_j} ∉ acl_{d_i} is to be given access to d_i. The data owner Alice updates the access control matrix A to A’ by making A [u_{i_j}, d_i] = 1 . It should be noted that this entry was 0 in A. A’ is the modified access control matrix. To enforce the changed access control to d_i wherein the users in acl_{d_i} = acl_{d_i} U u_{i_j} should access d_i , Alice does the following.

Alice decrypts K_i d_i where K_i is computed using the private key of Alice and the public key information of users in acl_{d_i}. The new key K_i’ for acl_{d_i}’ is computed as below.

K_i’ = e(g^{a_{i_1}},\ldots,g^{a_{i_k}},g^{a_{i_j}},g^{s_{i_1}},\ldots,g^{s_{i_k}})^a
= e(g,\ldots,g)^{a_{i_1}a_{i_2}\ldots a_{i_k}s_{i_1}\ldots s_{i_k}}

Alice computes C_i’ = d_i K_i’ and sends the same to the server. C_i’ can be accessed only by the users in acl_{d_i}’.
3.2. Revoking access privileges of an user

Another privilege change operation we address is removing the existing authorization/privilege of the user $u_{ij} \in acl_{d_i}$ to access the data $d_i$. The user $u_{ij}$ should be made unauthorized to access $d_i$. Alice executes the following algorithm.

Revoke Privilege Update, DP $\left( A, d_i, P_{pub}, sk_d, u_{ij} \right)$: The data owner Alice updates the access control matrix $A$ to $A'$ by making $A \left[ u_{ij}, d_i \right] = 0$. It should be noted that this entry was 1 in $A$. $A'$ is the modified access control matrix. To enforce the changed access control to $d_i$ wherein the users in $acl_{d_i}' = acl_{d_i} - u_{ij}$ should access $d_i$, Alice does the following.

- Data owner picks $l = \min \{ |acl_{d_i}| \}$ random numbers from $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Say, $s_{n-1+1}, \ldots, s_n$.
- Data owner decrypts $d_i$ by computing $K_i$ using his private key and the public information (elaborated in the decryption key generation algorithm).
- Let $k = \left| acl_{d_i} \right|$. Suppose $d_i$ was encrypted for the users in $acl_{d_i}$ such that $k = n$. Alice computes for $K_i' = acl_{d_i}'$ as,

$$K_i' = e(g^{ai_1}, \ldots, g^{ai_j}, g^{ai_{j+1}}, \ldots, g^{ai_k}, g^{s_i})^a$$

$$= e(g, \ldots, g)^{aa_{i_1} \ldots ai_j - ai_{j+1} \ldots ai_k s_i}$$

The data item $d_i$ is encrypted as $C_i' = d_i K_i'$ and is stored on the server.
- Suppose $d_i$ was encrypted for users in $acl_{d_i}$ such that $k < n$. Then, the $K_i$ generated to encrypt $d_i$ had used public information of $k < n$ users in $acl_{d_i} = \{ u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{ik} \}$ along with the public information $\{ g^{s_j} \}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n - k$. To enforce the access privileges as in $acl_{d_i}'$, Alice computes $K_i'$ as below,

$$K_i' = e(g^{ai_1}, \ldots, g^{ai_j}, g^{ai_{j+1}}, \ldots, g^{ai_k}, g^{s_i}, \ldots, g^{s_{n-k+1}})^a$$

$$= e(g, \ldots, g)^{aa_{i_1} \ldots ai_j - ai_{j+1} \ldots ai_k s_i \ldots s_{n-k+1}}$$

The data item $d_i$ is encrypted as $C_i' = d_i K_i'$ and is stored on the server.

3.3. Dynamic access control enforcement by data owner without downloading the data

In the scheme presented in previous section, Alice the data owner downloads the encrypted data item to alter the access privileges. The process of downloading the data item from the server to make changes to update the access privileges and again storing the data after encryption to the server is costly. In this section, we focus on avoiding the need of Alice downloading the data item. We have assumed the server to be honest-but-curious. The server is honest in carrying out the operations specified by Alice. Under this assumption, we explain how the access privileges of the data items can be changed.

Suppose $acl_{d_i} = \{ u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{ik} \}$ such that $k < n$. The key $K_i$ used to encrypt the data item $d_i$ is

$$K_i = e(g^{ai_1}, \ldots, g^{ai_k}, g^{s_i}, \ldots, g^{s_{n-k}})^a$$

$$= e(g, \ldots, g)^{aa_{i_1} \ldots ai_k s_i \ldots s_{n-k}}$$

The access privileges changes are carried out as explained below.
- Suppose Alice wants to authorize $u_{ij} \notin acl_{d_i}$ but $u_{ij} \in U$ to access $d_i$. Alice computes,

$$K_i' = e(g^{ai_1}, \ldots, g^{ai_k}, g^{ai_j}, g^{s_i}, \ldots, g^{s_{n-k-1}})^a$$

$$= e(g, \ldots, g)^{aa_{i_1} \ldots ai_k ai_j s_i \ldots s_{n-k-1}}$$

Alice computes,
Alice sends $\delta \in G_2$ to the server. Server computes the following

$$C'_i = C_i \delta = K_i d_i \delta = d_i K'_i$$

The server won’t learn any information about $d_i$ as server will not be able to compute either $K_i$ or $K'_i$. The $C'_i$ can be decrypted only by the users in $acl_{d_i} U u_{ij}$ to get $d_i$.

The same procedure can be used to remove the access privilege of a user $u_{ij} \in acl_{d_i}$ to the data item $d_i$.

3.4. Security

The security of the scheme is based on the multilinear Diffie-Hellman Assumption [3]. The proof is not provided because of space constraints.
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5. Conclusion

We have proposed two schemes to address security problems associated with outsourced data in cloud. The data possession proof for checking whether the data is kept or erased by the server. The scheme generates a proof which saves network bandwidth. The dynamic access control scheme using multilinear map is designed to enforce access control on the outsourced data. Only authorized users will be able to access the data. The users are supposed to store only single key to access the authorized data items.
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