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Abstract— In this study, a systematic methodology based on the response surface methodology is coupled with an effective SA algorithm to find the optimum process parameter values. Due to the complexity of injection molding, numerous mathematical models have been proposed and extensively developed. Efficient minimization of shrinkage and warpage on the fuel filter in the injection molding process by response surface methodology and simulated annealing algorithm is investigated. Process parameters such as mold temperature, melt temperature, injection pressure are considered as model variables. The response surface model is interfaced with an effective SA algorithm to find the optimum process parameter values.
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1. Introduction

In the competitive world in the global market, automotive industry is striving to produce products at high quality, at shorter time and at low cost. This can be achieved through well-planned design activities and by considering various modern information technology tools [1]. like finite element analysis (FEA), computer aided design (CAD) and mould flow analysis. During production, quality problems of the plastic parts are affected by manufacturing process conditions. Two of the most important quality problems are shrinkage and warpage. Machining parameters in addition to molding material, part and mold designs are major factors affecting the quality of thermoplastic parts produced by injection molding. In order to yield an injection-molded part with minimal values of shrinkage and warpage, optimization method alone or integration with another method provides effective ways in finding the optimal machining parameters values in the process of injection molding.

In this study, a systematic methodology based on the response surface methodology (RSM) is applied to recognize the effects of machining parameters on the performance of shrinkage and warpage. To achieve the minimization of shrinkage and warpage under the given design constraints, the predictive model for the performance characteristics of shrinkage and warpage is also created using the RSM. An RSM model is coupled with an effective SA algorithm to find the optimum process parameter values.

The remainder of this section presents some discussions and literature review on injection molding, Moldflow software, and solution algorithms in the response surface methodology (RSM) problems. Section 2 presents the experimental set-up. Section 3 describes the response surface methodology and condition of experiment. Section 4 presents the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Section 5 presents the SA algorithm to solve multi-objective response problems. Section 6 presents confirmation experiments. Ultimately section 7 presents conclusion.
A. literature review on injection molding and design of experiment in injection molding

Due to the complexity of injection molding, numerous mathematical models have been proposed and extensively developed by a growing numbers of studies for the analysis of different stages of the injection molding process[2]. The process of injection molding is an unstable cyclical work, which includes filling, packing, cooling, opening the mode cavity, injecting and closing the mode cavity. Warpage and shrinkage are among the most significant defects of the thermoplastic parts in terms of quality in the process of injection molding.

The level of warpage and shrinkage is highly connected to the machining parameters of the injection molding operation. Numerous researches have been presented at scope of injection molding. Among which, we can consider the following studies: Jacques [3] examined thermal warpage in the injection-molded flat part of amorphous polymer due to unbalanced cooling during the process of injection molding. Huang and Tai [4] used the computer simulation and the experimental design of the Taguchi method to analyze the effective factors of warpage in an injection molded part with a thin-shell feature. Chen and Shiou [5] conducted to determine the optimal processing parameters in the finish operation of a free-form surface plastic injection molding, applying the Taguchi orthogonal array.

B. background of approaches to solve multi-response statistical optimization problems

Until now many approaches have been proposed for the multiple-objective statistical problems. One of this methods introduced by Mollaghasemi et al. [6] that used a multi-attribute value function representing the decision-maker preferences. Then, they applied a gradient search technique to find the optimum value of the assessed function. A neuro-fuzzy and GA method was proposed by Cheng et al. [7] for optimizing the multiple response problems. Pasandideh and Akhavan Niaki [8] presented the genetic algorithm within desirability function framework for Multi-response simulation optimization. Periaux et al. [9] proposed a GA-based method that uses the concept of game theory to solve a bi-objective optimization problem.

2. Experimental set-up

A. Obtained shrinkage and warpage

In this study, the value of shrinkage is calculated by using the following formula:

$$\varepsilon = \left( \frac{l_{\text{part}} - l_{\text{cavity}}} {l_{\text{cavity}}} \right) \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $l_{\text{cavity}}$ is the long length of the cavity and $l_{\text{part}}$ is the long length of the fuel filter. The percentage of shrinkage being used in experiment is taken through the output of average linear shrinkage and amount of warpage is taken through the output of deflection all effects in MPI software.

In the injection molding process, the lower both shrinkage and warpage are, the better the indication of the response characteristics. Those desired responses are regarded as the smaller-the-better characteristic and influence each other relatively.

B. Material

The material used in this experiment is a commercially available injection molding grade polyamide 66 (PA-66) for the product of the fuel filter.

C. Mold parts and type of machine

The electric horizontal-plastic-injection machine tool (250 ton) is used as the experimental machine in this study. The mold of the fuel filter is made of steel P-20.

D. Machining parameter selection and experimental plan

The factors of machining parameter and the factorial levels are recommended from the processing guides of PA-66 material and the correlated processing parameters of the mechanical equipment. There are three principal machining parameters specified, including the mold temperature (Mot), melt temperature (Met), injection pressure (Ip). In this study, these machining parameters are chosen as the independent input variables. TABLE I shows the levels of three machining parameters.
3. Response surface methodology

RSM is an empirical modeling approach for determining the relationship between various processing parameters and responses with the various desired criteria and searches for the significance of these process parameters in the coupled responses. In this study, the experimental design adopts the centered central composite design (CCD) in order to fit the quadratic model of the RSM. The factorial portion of CCD is a full factorial design with all combinations of the factors at two levels (high, +1 and low, −1) and composed of the six star points, and one central point (coded level 0) which is the midpoint between the high and low levels. The star points are at the face of the cube portion on the design which corresponds to an α value of 1. This type of design is commonly called the face-centered CCD.

A. Results and discussion

The results of the machining performance evaluation of the fuel filter as per the experimental plan are tabulated in TABLE II. In order to ensure the goodness of fit of the quadratic model obtained in this study, we test for significance of the regression model.

B. ANOVA analysis

The resulting ANOVA table of the reduced quadratic model for the shrinkage and warpage are presented in TABLE III and IV. The reduced model results reveal that this model is still significant in the status of the value of “Prob. > F” is less than 0.05. In the same manner, The main effect of factor Me, Ip, Me2, Ip2 and Melp are significant model terms.

Through the backward elimination process, the final quadratic models of response equation in terms of coded factors are presented as follows:

\[ S = 0.039168891 - 0.010322470 \text{Malt} - 0.004958377 \text{Ip} + 0.021300571 \text{Malt}^2 + 0.01064971 \text{Ip}^2 + 0.00707300 \text{Malt} \text{Ip} \]

\[ W = 2.644157614 - 0.005694785 \text{Malt} - 0.004196193 \text{Ip} + 0.01264507 \text{Malt}^2 + 0.014375621 \text{Ip}^2 + 0.014375621 \text{Malt} \text{Ip} \]

Obtained models can be used to predict the values of shrinkage and warpage within the limits of the factors studied.
4. The simulated annealing algorithm to solve multi-objective problems

In this section, we propose a simulated annealing algorithm to solve the multi-objective problem. Experiments show that this method has a high ability to find a solution in multi-objective problems. Firstly, it is necessary that we present some contents which are used in the simulated annealing algorithm and concerned about multi-objective problems. In the following, components which are utilized in the SA algorithm are presented. The most of components are from the proposed algorithm of Pasandideh and Akhavan Niaki [8].

Desirability function

One of the most widely used methods for considering the optimization of multiple-response problems is the desirability function approach. In order to describe the desirability function approach mathematically, suppose each of the \((k=2)\) response variables are related to \((p=3)\) independent variables by Eq. (2).

\[
y_i = f(x_1, \ldots, x_p) + \varepsilon
\]  

A desirability function, \(d_i(y_i)\) assigns numbers between 0 and 1 to the possible value of each response \(y_i\). The value of \(d_i(y_i)\) increases as the desirability of the corresponding response increases. We define the overall desirability, \(D\), by the geometric mean of the individual desirability values shown in Eq. (3).

\[
D = (d_1(y_1) \times d_2(y_2) \times \ldots \times d_k(y_k))^{1/k}
\]
Where $k = 2$ in our problem. Note that if a response $Y_1$ is completely undesirable, i.e., $d_1(Y_1) = 0$, then the overall desirability value is 0. Depending on whether a particular response $Y_i$ is to be maximized, minimized, or assigned a target value, we can use different desirability functions.

There are two types of transformation from $Y_1$ to $d_1(Y_1)$, namely one-sided and two-sided transformation. Since our problem is minimizing case, we should define one-sided desirability function displayed in figure 3 for this problem. In a one-sided transformation assume $l_i$ and $u_i$ be the lower and upper limits value of the response $Y_i$ respectively such that $l_i < u_i$. Afterwards, we define the desirability function as Eq. (4).

$$
\begin{align*}
    d_1(Y_1) &= \begin{cases} 
        1 & \frac{Y_1 - l_i}{u_i - l_i} \\
        0 & u_i \leq Y_1 \\
    \end{cases} 
\end{align*}
$$

(4)

Where $l_i$ and $u_i$ are minimum and maximum value of the observation respectively. Values of $l_i$ and $u_i$ for shrinkage response variable are 3.573 and 3.757 respectively. Moreover, quantities of $l_i$ and $u_i$ for warpage response variable are 2.191 and 2.303 respectively.

**Mathematical model**

The mathematical model of the problem that we use its in the algorithm becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
    \max \quad D &= \prod_{h=1,2,3} d_1(Y_h) \\
    \text{s.t.} \quad L_h \leq X_h \leq U_h
\end{align*}
$$

(5)

Where all the factors that construct the input of the problem are the independent variables $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_k$ and $U_k, L_k$ are the lower and upper bounds of the independent variables. The output of the problem is the response variables denoted by $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \ldots, Y_h$, $d_1(Y_h)$ is the one-sided desirability functions for each response.

**Feasible solution**

In the SA algorithm, we define a set of the values for $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ as a solution to the problem, where $p=3$ for this problem.

**Neighborhood**

In order to construct a neighborhood we replace an element of set defined as initial solution, with a randomly selected number within the boundaries of the parameter $X_j$. Assume that selected elements are $X_j$ and $X_k$, where $j \neq k$. Then we change the values of $X_j$ and $X_k$ to the new values $X'_j$ and $X'_k$ according to Eq. 6, and 7, randomly and with the same probability:

$$
\begin{align*}
    X'_j &= X_j + (u_j - l_j) \times r \times \left( \frac{1}{\max_1} \right) \\
    X'_k &= X_k + (u_k - l_k) \times r \times \left( \frac{1}{\max_2} \right) \\
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

$$
\begin{align*}
    X'_j &= X_j - (u_j - l_j) \times r \times \left( \frac{1}{\max_3} \right) \\
    X'_k &= X_k - (u_k - l_k) \times r \times \left( \frac{1}{\max_4} \right) \\
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

Where, $r$ is a uniform random variable between 0 and 1, $l_i$ and $u_i$ are the lower and upper limits of the specified element, $I$ is the number of current iteration, and $\max$ is the maximum number of iterations. Note that the value of $Y_j$ is transferred to its right or left randomly by Eq. 6, and 7, respectively and $r$ is this percentage. Moreover, $\left( \frac{1}{\max} \right)$ is an index with a value close to 1 in the first iteration and close to 0 in the last generation that makes large production of Neighborhood in the early iterations and almost no production in the last iteration.
Objective function investigation

After producing the new neighborhood by current solution, we need to investigate them. We generate the value of the response variables, desirability functions and total desirability, and compare these values with current solution's total desirability. Accepting the worse solution from current solution, we use a criterion called metro polis that its function is illustrated in Eq. 8.

\[ M = \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta E}{T(i)}\right) \]  

(2)

Where \( \Delta E \) is the difference between the total desirability function of current solution and its neighborhood desirability. \( T(i) \) represents temperature in stage \( (i) \).

Tuning parameters of the SA algorithm

Decrease rate of temperature that we have used in SA showed in Eq. 9.

\[ T(i+1) = \frac{(T_0 - T_f)}{N}T_i + T_f \]  

(9)

Where \( T_0 \) and \( T_f \) demonstrate initial and final temperature that are tuned at 20 and 4 respectively, \( (i) \) represents stage, and \( N \) represents number of algorithm's stages.

Implementation of the proposed method

To solve the optimization problem, the SA algorithm has been written in MATLAB programming language. We consider tow responses (output variables: shrinkage and warpage) as polynomial functions of three independent variables (input variables: mold temperature, melt temperature, and injection pressure) as:

\[ y_s = 0.63251\times 10^{-1} - 0.03126375\times 10^{-1} \times T_m + 0.01872957 \times 10^{-1} \times T_i + 0.01954697 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m \times T_i + 0.013795 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m^2 + 0.013795 \times 10^{-1} \times T_i^2 + 0.0140756 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m \times T_i + c_2 \]

\[ y_w = 0.63251\times 10^{-1} - 0.03126375\times 10^{-1} \times T_m + 0.01872957 \times 10^{-1} \times T_i + 0.01954697 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m \times T_i + 0.013795 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m^2 + 0.013795 \times 10^{-1} \times T_i^2 + 0.0140756 \times 10^{-1} \times T_m \times T_i \]

Where the input variables ranging in \(-1.69179 \leq m \leq 1.69179\), \(-1.69179 \leq p \leq 1.69179\), and \( c_2 \sim \text{Exp}(0.01) \). After running the SA algorithm in the MATLAB software, we observe obtained values of input and output variables that are showed in TABLE V.

Confirmation of experiments

In order to verify the adequacy of the obtained quadratic model, the four confirmation experiments are performed for the shrinkage \( S \) and the warpage \( W \). The data from the confirmation runs and their comparisons with the predicted values for the shrinkage \( S \) and the warpage \( W \) are listed in TABLE VI. From that, both the residual and percentage error are small.

5. Conclusions

In this study, an efficient optimization methodology using response surface methodology (RSM) and simulated annealing algorithm (SA) is introduced in minimizing shrinkage and warpage of the fuel filter. Mathematical models of the shrinkage and warpage have been carried out to correlate the dominant machining parameters of the plastic injection molding process for simulating the fuel filter. To find the optimum value of machining parameters, the quadratic model of RSM is used. The results of ANOVA and conducting confirmation experiments show that the quadratic models of the shrinkage and warpage are fairly well fitted with the experimental values. The influences of all the machining parameters on the performances of shrinkage and warpage have been analyzed by the obtained mathematical models.

The RSM model is interfaced with the SA algorithm to find the optimum process parameter values.
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