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Abstract: Test Coverage is an important indicator of software quality and an essential part of software maintenance. It helps in evaluating the effectiveness of testing by providing data on different coverage items. Although much research effort has been put on how to get coverage information by either code based testing or requirement based testing, not much has been paid to measure and analyze the coverage by covering maximum number of coverage items. This paper provides a study of the current test coverage researches conducted by other researchers for test coverage in software testing. By looking at the existing approaches; gaps and uncovered measurement of Test Coverage can be explored further. 
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1. INTRODUCTION

Software testing is considered now as an essential activity in software maintenance life cycle. It is a practice often used to determine and improve software quality. Testing activities also include obtaining the test coverage. “Coverage is the extent that a structure has been exercised as a percentage of the items being covered. If coverage is not 100%, then more tests may be designed to test those items that were missed and therefore, increase coverage”[1]. Test coverage can help in monitoring the quality of testing, and assist in directing the test generators to create test cases that cover areas that have not been tested before [2].

The output of coverage measurement can be used in several ways to improve the testing process. It can also provide the user with information on the status of the verification process. It can help to find holes in the testing, i.e. areas that are not covered [2]. Test coverage also helps in Regression testing, test case prioritization, test suite augmentation and test suite minimization. Although much research attention has been given to test coverage measurement and analysis, but there is a need to cover all three granularity levels of test coverage items, i.e. fine-grain, medium-grain and course-grain in detail.

This paper reports on a study to find the research on current approaches related to test coverage. The study presented here is that involves a search of the literature to determine what sorts of studies addressing the systematic review question have been carried out, where they are published, in what databases they have been indexed, what sorts of outcomes they have assessed, and in which populations [3]. This study is aimed at presenting an overview of research concerning test coverage over the period 2000 – 2010 and identifying probable gaps in research about test coverage.
This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the research method in conducting the study. Section III reports the result and discussion about study. In section IV, we have identified some threats to validity. Finally section V concludes the work.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1 Research Objective

The objective of this study is to summarize current state of the art approaches related to Test Coverage based on the following main questions:

- What are the main research areas in test coverage addressed by other studies?
- What are the current approaches of test coverage findings?
- What is the number of publications per year?

2.2 Information Sources

This study was conducted from May to November 2010. The literature search was carried out by searching in a set of selected repositories. The repositories used were ACM Digital Library, Science Direct, IEEEexplore, SciVerse Scopus, Google Scholar and Springer Link. Although the total number of papers was high, most of the papers were redundant. The paper having same title was returned by different repository search engines. Therefore, we eliminated these papers.

2.3 Search Criteria

Different keywords were defined as a search string based on the research questions. These include Code Coverage, Software Testing, Test Coverage, and Traceability. Using the above keywords, a search string was created and used for different repository search engines. The year range 2000 - 2010 was included in the search.

3. The Systematic Process

The essential process steps of our systematic study are shown in Figure 1. Our systematic review process started with defining research question as stated in section II (A). Next step was to define the searching keyword. The keywords are listed in section C. Using the keywords, searching was carried out on the selected repositories using the provided search engine. A set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined for the selection process. These criteria were then used in the study process after searching.

Once the list of research papers was obtained, the papers not matching our keywords were excluded after reading the title, abstract and conclusion. At the same time, the papers having same title returned by different repositories were removed to eliminate redundancy. Final analysis was conducted on the base of references to ensure that referenced papers were not missed out. Reference analysis is important as some of the publications might have been missed out during the keywords based search using search engines and during exclusion based on title and abstract. By looking at the reference at the end of each paper, relevant papers that are missed earlier can be included in the mapping study process.
4. Result and Discussion

A total of 47 research papers related to Test Coverage were returned by this study searching process. The research papers were then categorized and classified into 6 groups which are survey and study of test coverage, frameworks for test coverage, test coverage items, methods/algorithms, software reliability modeling for test coverage, and others. Figure 2 illustrates the breakdown of research papers according to the six categories.
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Based on Figure 2, coverage measurement, software reliability issues and studies and surveys are contributing about the equal share. Share for framework development is only 6%.

Figure 3 shows the number of research publications made per year. Research publications on test coverage grew rapidly after year 2004. As of November 2010, there are 5 published papers in this year. This number may increase, since the literature search was conducted between May to November 2010 and more relevant studies not yet published or indexed by digital libraries.
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The publications were also classified based on different forum types. The forum types are workshops, conferences and symposiums, journals, technical reports and book chapters. A large percentage of the publications come from conferences and symposiums (70 %), followed by journals (19 %). Workshops and technical reports shared 4 % each. This is illustrated in Figure 4.

The following section will discuss the findings of the study for each focus area as categorized in Figure 2.

4.1 Survey/Study

There are three survey type literature related to test/code coverage. The researchers focused on code coverage as a stopping criterion, compared different coverage based tools and looked on different techniques available for generating test cases to satisfy test coverage criteria.
Several researchers studied test coverage analysis related to test effectiveness, test suit improvement and software reliability estimation. Some believe that visualizations reduced the variability in the number of test cases; developers wrote by changing the standard developers used to evaluate their test effectiveness. The entire above researchers are tabulated in Table 1.

### Table 1. Survey and study table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Issues Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qian Yang et al. [5]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Coverage-based testing tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prasanna et al. [6]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Test case generation techniques to satisfy test coverage criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Audris Mockus et al. [7]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Test effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silva et al. [8]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Code coverage analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anna Derezińska [10]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Test suits improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stefan Berner et al. [12]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Impact on testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph Lawrance et al. [14]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Code coverage visualizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yong Woo Kim [15]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Systematic approach of coverage analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Framework

Three authors have proposed frameworks for test coverage measurement and analysis, one by Sakamoto et al. (2010), the other by Matteo Bordin et al. (2009), and third by Misurda et al. (2005). Sakamoto [16] proposed a framework for consistent and flexible measurement of test coverage, called the Open Code Coverage Framework (OCCF) that supports multiple programming languages. Their framework provides guidelines to support several test coverage criteria. Moreover, OCCF let users expand features to add user-defined test coverage and new programming language. Matteo Bordin [17] proposed a framework, Couverture, which provides a virtualized execution platform for cross-compiled application on the host machine. Couverture is able to measure structural coverage of object and source code without requiring any form of application instrumentation with a single execution of the cross-compiled application and test suites. Misurda, [18] described a new scalable and flexible framework for testing programs with a novel demand-
driven approach based on execution paths to implement test coverage. He used dynamic instrumentation

technique on the binary code that can be inserted and removed on-the-fly to keep performance and memory
overheads low.

4.3 Test Coverage Items

A lot of research papers focused on different coverage items to measure and analyze test coverage. There
are about 12 coverage item types like statement, branch, block, decision, condition, method, class, package,
requirement, and data flow coverage. Based on the papers gathered, it can be observed that different
researchers have targeted different coverage types but only two have used requirement coverage for test
coverage analysis. This type of coverage can be explored further to look deeply into coverage analysis and
measurement. Table 2 classifies the approaches based on coverage measurement.

Table 2. Classification of Approaches based on Test Coverage Measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Test Coverage Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koochakzadeh et al. [19]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Method, Class, Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angeletti et al. [21]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapfhammer et al. [22]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Code Coverage, Date Flow Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingampally et al. [23]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Branch, Block, Method, Predicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehdi et al. [24]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Line, condition, Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lormans et al. [25]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz et al. [26]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Branch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Methods/Algorithms

Some researchers developed new methods, models and algorithms to increase and improve the quality of
the code coverage. Tsai et al. (2007) defined a coverage relationship model for test case selection and
ranking for multi-version software. He proposed a model based adaptive test (MAT) case technique. Li and
Asaf used their models to improve the quality of the coverage analysis. In his study, Gao proposed dynamic
test coverage analysis solution to monitor API-based component validation. James and Mary presented two
new algorithms for test-suite reduction and one new algorithm for test-suite prioritization that can account
for MC/DC when reducing and prioritizing test suites. We have shown these authors, year and the issues they
discussed in their methods/algorithms in Table 3.
Table 3. Methods/Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Issues Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krishnamoorthi et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Test case prioritization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Test data generation for branch coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsai et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Test case selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Jenny Li</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Increase code coverage Reduce testing cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Test coverage analysis for component validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asaf et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Improvement for coverage analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James and Mary</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Test-suite reduction Test-suite prioritization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikir et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Dynamic instrumentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atif Memon et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>GUI coverage criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Software Reliability Modeling Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Issues Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jinxia et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Integrated test coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smidts et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Software fault content and location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haifeng et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Fault detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Estimation improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Failure intensity function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.-Y. Park et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Coverage growth function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Pham et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Integrated test coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaiya et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Relation between testing time, coverage, and reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Chen et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Testing time reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Software Reliability Modeling

The study regarding software reliability using test coverage has gained much attention in recent years. Many new models have been proposed for software reliability estimation. Most of the researchers believe that time is not the only factor that affects the failure behavior of the software but other important factors must be added to predict the accuracy of software reliability models. Test coverage is believed as an essential factor to enhance these models. Table 4 lists down the authors involved, year, and issues addressed in developing the software reliability models using test coverage. All studies used test coverage with time and other factors to define software reliability models.

4.6 Others

Other research works relating to test coverage measurement and analysis includes a strategy by Ricardo et al. (2010) [45] for test coverage analysis of UML state machines which produces a colored UML model showing the elements covered. Rauf et al. (2010) [46] used genetic algorithm in MATLAB to explore automated GUI test coverage. Takahashi et al. (2008) [47] used coverage criteria for concurrent software testing. The concurrent coverage criteria intend to find concurrent software specific defects, such as race conditions. Gupta and Jalote (2008) [48] used mutation analysis to experimentally evaluating effectiveness and efficiency of coverage criteria for testing.

Whalen et al. (2006) [49] defined test coverage metrics on high-level formal software requirements to support structural or white box testing. Gupta et al. (2000) [50] presented a new program execution based approach to generate input data for branch coverage.

5. Threats to Validity

First, keyword searching and reference analysis were used to get the required research papers. The irrelevant papers were then excluded after reading the title, abstract and conclusions. However, there is a possibility that some papers may be missed due to the above searching and exclusion method.

Second, judgmental errors may disturb in classifying the papers into each category.
6. Conclusion

This study paper has described the research methods, discussed the results of the study and threats to the validity of the study. The systematic process was described in terms of the research questions defined, searching keywords used, the exclusion and inclusion criteria. The results of the study was classified into several categories and analyzed. The paper has shown the areas of research within Test Coverage that have been done by answering the questions that were defined initially. Most of the research papers are from conference and paper proceedings, which indicate that more work needs to be done in order to improve the current state of research in test coverage measurement and analysis.
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